AGENCY ESTIMATE

OF THE FISCAL IMPACT OF IMPLEMENTING

SB 217 2011 General Session

Education Policy Amendments

Sponsor: Senator Curtis S. Bramble Lead Analyst: Ben Leishman

Agency Contact:Cathy Dudley/February 24, 2011Title:MSP Budget and Property Tax SpecialistAgencyUtah State Office of EducationOffice:801-538-7667Cell:801-707-0848

A. Short Form (For bills that have If you can check all five boxes to the right, you're almost done. If the bill obviously doesn't have an impact, you're done.	no impact on the state, local governments, businesses, or individuals.) State agencies will not require an appropriation to implement the bill. There is no fiscal impact on local governments. X There is no fiscal impact on businesses X There is no fiscal impact on individuals. The bill will not affect revenues.			
If it isn't so obvious, explain what's going on. The most usual explanation is the codification of existing practices. Attachments welcome.	If necessary, explain why this bill has no fiscal impact.			
B. What parts of the bill cause fiscal impact?				
Cite specific sections or line numbers.	Lines 62-65.			
C. Which program gets the appropriation? Enter 3 letter Appropriation Unit Code. For multiple appropriations This is				

D. Work Notes: Assumptions, calculations & what are we buying?

Explain the fiscal impact in plain English, detailing your assumptions, methods, & calculations.

List all direct costs. Identify one-time and ongoing costs. Detail FTE impacts.

Do not say, "\$50,000 in Current Expense." Be very specific about what this \$50,000 will buy.

Attachments encouraged.

This bill modifies the Beverley Taylor Sorenson Elementary Arts Learning Program by adding the stipulation to the grant program that a local education agency (LEA) receives this grant if the LEA provides matching funds for 10% of the grant amounts.

The State Board of Education shall make additional funds available for schools to participate in this program, however, there is not any appropriation for this in this bill.

E. REVENUES Select Fund	Current Budget Year FY 2011	Coming Budget Year FY 2012	Future Budget Year FY 2013	
	Total 0	0	0	
F. COSTS by FUNI	Current Budget Year	Coming Budget Year	Future Budget Year	
Select Fund	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
	Total 0	0	0	
G. COSTS by EXPENDITURE CATEGORY.				
Expenses by Category Personal Services	Current Budget Year FY 2011	Coming Budget Year FY 2012	Future Budget Year FY 2013	
Trave Current Expense DP Current Expense				
DP Capital Outlay Capital Outlay Other/Pass Thru	1			
Total 0 0 0				
H. Non-State Impacts Your estimate of how will the bill affect:				
Local Governments	If an LEA wants to participate in this grant program, they must provide matching funds for 10% of the grant amount. That LEA then must increase its match amount by an additional 10% each subsequent year, until the LEA provides matching funds in an amount equal to the grant amount.			
Businesses	an an ambant equal to the B			
Individuals				
2010 Version 11.09				

This is a draft fiscal note response from the Utah State Office of Education (USOE) and may be revised in the future.

Attachments welcome.